

August 20, 2001

**PROPOSED AGENDA  
SCIENCE ADVISORY BOARD  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
NATA REVIEW PANEL**

Public Conference Call Closure Meeting  
Convened in Ariel Rios Building Rm 6013  
11:00AM - 1:00 PM, Wednesday, August 29, 2001  
(Eastern Standard Time)

- I. Opening Remarks, Overview of Report Edits and Overarching Issues** (10 min.) Dr. Mitchell Small  
Panel Chair
- A. Introductions
- B. Overview of Progress Since 6/13 Public Conference Call
- C. Log-in and Disclosure Process Dr. Jack Kooyoomjian, Designated Federal Official
- II. Overview/Status of Edits/Changes to NATA Working Draft Report and Clarity of Basic Messages** (20 min) Dr. Mitchell Small, NATA  
Panel Chair and NATA Panelists
- A. Highlights and Status of Changes/Edits from the 6/6 Public Draft to the Current 8/10/01 Public Draft
- B. Letter to the Administrator
- C. Abstract
- D. Executive Summary
- E. Appendices, Glossary, Reference Citations and Other Enhancements
- III. Public Comments** (3 minutes max per commenter) The Interested Public  
(Specific Commenters requesting time will be identified)
- IV. Panel Closure Discussion and Recommended Editorial Refinements:** NATA Panelists  
(5 minutes per Charge Question, 1 hour total max..)
- A. Detailed Closure Discussion of Further Edits to Text for Responses to Charge Questions 1 thru 9 and Remaining Refinements That May be Needed
- B. Report Charge Closure Discussion & Edits:
- Question #1 - National Toxics Inventory:** Have the emissions data been appropriately adapted? Suggested improvements for the future (Chien & Gentile). - **Gentile** is Lead Discussant
- Question #2 - Model Issues:** ASPEN & HAPEM Models and appropriateness of approach taken for concentration generation and comparisons between ambient predictions and monitoring (Gentile, Georgopoulos and Middleton). - **Georgopoulos** is Lead Discussant

**Question #3 - Dose-Response Information:** Appropriateness of use of dose-response information in the assessment, and suggestions for improvements (Bartell & Brown). **Brown** is Lead Discussant

**IV. Panel Overview Discussion of Recommended Edits (continued):** NATA Panelists

**B. Report Charge Discussion & Edits: (Continued):**

**Question #4 - Risk Characterization:** Strengths and weaknesses of the overall conceptual approach to risk characterization, and suggestions on specific ways it could be improved (Greer, Henry and Liu). - **Henry** is Lead Discussant

**Question #5 - Diesel Emissions:** risk characterization and suggestions that would improve upon the approach to compare toxic health effects of diesel particulates with other pollutants (Mauderly & Small). **Mauderly** is Lead Discussant.

**Question #6 - Uncertainty and Variability:** Ways to improve this preliminary assessment, make it more transparent, or integrated more effectively into risk characterization, and methods to quantify across air toxics (Milford & Small). **Milford** is Lead Discussant

**Question #7 - Communication:** Have the results of the assessment been appropriately and clearly presented? Suggested alternative methods or formats that could improve the presentation and communication of these results? (Anderson, Small). **Anderson** is Lead Discussant

**Question #8 - Benefits Analysis: Basis for a Benefits Assessment:** Applicability to the CAAA Section 812 Study (Everyone. Middleton's notes).- **Middleton** is Lead Discussant

**Question #9 - Future Research Priorities:** Suggestions for research priorities that would improve future air toxics assessments. (Everyone) (Suggestions by Henry, Greer and Liu)

**References:** (Everyone.)

**Appendix A:** The SAB Process (Jack K.)

**Other Appendices:** (NATA Panelists)

**Glossary:** (Jack K.)

**Other:** Open Discussion (Everyone)

**V. Summary (5 min.)**

Dr. Small

- A. Closure: The Next Steps
- B. Plan and schedule to forward revised consensus draft to the SAB's Executive Committee

**ADJOURN:** 1:00 pm (later if necessary).